ANNEX … State aid incidence assessment

1. **What is State aid?**

According to the provision of Article 107(1) of the TFEU **“Save as otherwise provided in the Treaties, any aid granted by a Member State or through State resources in any form whatsoever which distorts or threatens to distort competition by favouring certain undertakings or the production of certain goods shall, in so far as it affects trade between Member States, be incompatible with the internal market**”.

State aid is defined as an **advantage** in any form whatsoever conferred on a **selective basis to undertakings** by national public authorities. Therefore, subsidies granted to individuals or general measures open to all enterprises are not covered by this prohibition and do not constitute State aid (examples include general taxation measures or employment legislation).

To be State aid, a measure needs to have these features:

* there has been an **intervention by the State or through State resources** which can take a variety of forms (e.g. grants, interest and tax reliefs, guarantees, government holdings of all or part of a company, or providing goods and services on preferential terms, etc.);
* the intervention gives **the recipient an advantage on a selective basis**, for example to specific companies or industry sectors, or to companies located in specific regions
* **competition has been or may be distorted**;
* the intervention is likely to **affect trade between Member States**.

Before the State aid tests can be applied it is necessary to determine whether the following two aspects are present:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | **Is the beneficiary an 'undertaking'?** An undertaking is defined as any entity, regardless of its legal status, which is engaged in economic (commercial/competitive) activity and where there is a market in comparable goods or services. |
| 2. | **Is an undertaking engaged in economic activity?** This is defined as offering goods and/or services on a given market and which could, at least in principle, be carried out by a private operator for remuneration in order to make profits. |

1. **Background information and applicable legal basis:**

Further information on EU legislation in the field of State Aid can be obtained from:

<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/state_aid/overview/index_en.html>

Guidance on State aid in European Structural and Investment (ESI) Funds Financial instruments in the 2014-2020 programming period

<http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/information/publications/guidelines/2017/guidance-on-state-aid-in-european-structural-and-investment-esi-funds-financial-instruments-in-the-2014-2020-programming-period>

**National rules on procedure - Romania**

<http://www.ajutordestat.ro/> **section** [**State aid legislation**](http://www.ajutordestat.ro/?pag=1&limba=en)

**National rules on procedure -Serbia**

Law on State Aid Control, RS Official Gazette 73/2019 (Zakon o kontroli državne pomoći, „Službeni glasnik RS“ broj 73/2019)

Regulation on Rules for Granting the State Aid, RS Official Gazette 13/2010; 100/2011, 91/2012, 37/2013, 97/13, 119/2014 and *23/2021, 62/2021 and 99/2021*)

( Uredba o pravilima za dodelu državne pomoći („Službeni glasnik RS“ broj 13/2010; 100/2011, 91/2012, 37/2013, 97/13, 119/2014 i *23/2021 - dr. uredbe, 62/2021 - dr. uredbe i 99/2021 - dr. uredbe*)

Regulation on the Rules and Procedures for State Aid Notification, RS Official Gazette 13/10, *100/2011, 91/2012, 37/2013, 97/2013, 119/2014, 23/2021, 62/2021 and 99/2021.*  (Uredba o načinu i postupku prijavljivanja državne pomoći („Službeni glasnik RS“ broj 13/10, *100/2011, 91/2012, 37/2013, 97/2013, 119/2014, 23/2021 - dr. uredbe, 62/2021 - dr. uredbe i 99/2021 - dr. uredbe* )

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Relation of State aid rules with the (Interreg VI-A) IPA Romania Serbia** |

State aid is not eligible in the framework (INTERREG VI-A) IPA Romania Serbia programme, therefore any project that has state aid incidence, including the possibility of grating indirect state aid, must be rejected.

1. **Assessment of the State aid presence in each project**

A grant awarded within the (**Interreg VI-A) IPA Romania Serbia programme** might lead to State aid for the Beneficiary of the project, to its partners that are involved in the project based on the Partnership Agreement. **There are even situations in which the project can result in State aid to the end users/target group.**

Therefore, a State-aid assessment for each individual project is necessary in order to determine if the criteria defining State aid are met.

It is important to bear in mind that **if one of the criteria is not met, the grant in question does not constitute State aid.**

The criteria, detailed in the Check-list below, are:

* 1. Is the project funded by State resources? The answer to this question is always “Yes” (see point 2 above).
* 2. Does the project awards an economic advantage to an undertaking?
* 3. Is the grant awarded selective? The answer is always “Yes”, as grants awarded following a call for proposals are always selective.
* 4. Does the project threaten to distort competition?
* 5. Does the project threaten to affect trade between Member States?

**The state aid assessment is mandatory for all projects partners, target groups and activities.!!!**

1. **State aid check-list.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Description** | **Question** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| * + 1. State resources | IPA funds are considered to be granted through State resources or by the State, so usually for INTERREG IPA Programme the answer is YES. | Automatically fulfilled | YES |  |
| 2. Economic advantage to an undertaking | **The first step is to identify whether the beneficiary represents an undertaking.**   * According to the State aid rules, an undertaking is any entity, irrespective of the type of legal organisation, which performs economic activities. * The concept of an undertaking encompasses every entity engaged in an economic activity, regardless of the legal status of the entity and the way in which it is financed. See for instance: judgement of the Court of 23 April 1991 in case C-41/90, Klaus Hofner and Fritz Elser vs Macrotron GmbH * The classification of an entity as an undertaking is always relative to a specific activity. An entity that carries out both economic and non-economic activities is to be regarded as an undertaking only with regard to the former. Furthermore, the application of the State aid rules as such does not depend on whether the entity is set up to generate profits, as also non-profit entities can offer goods and services on a market too. Also, the State authorities may themselves be considered as undertakings should they be involved in economic activities.   For example a public authority (central or local) that provides goods or services for a price might be considered an undertaking. The same situation might occur in the case of an NGO providing training for a fee. | 1. **Is the beneficiary** or its’ partners **an 'undertaking'?**   This question resumes to ascertaining if the beneficiary or its’ partners are undertakings in the meaning of the State aid rules, as the grant awarded is always an economic advantage. Therefore, it is important to see if there is any commercial activity involved, as this is the main factor defining an undertaking.  An undertaking is defined as any entity, regardless of its legal status, which is engaged in economic (commercial/competitive) activity and where there is a market in comparable goods or services. |  |  |
| **Economic activity means the supply of goods or services on a given market and which could, at least in principle, be carried out by private actors in order to make profits.**  Another examples of economic activities are:  - health services that are not part of the national *health service which* are almost entirely based on the principle of solidarity – e.g. dentistry, patients transport services, etc.  - funding to local authorities meant at providing renting at a lower price.  - the management of transport infrastructure.  Whenever the State acts in the exercise of its’ public powers, respectively the activity in question is a task that forms part of the essential functions of the State or is connected with those functions by its nature, its aim and the rules to which it is subject, it is not to be considered an undertaking.  Example of non-economic activities:  - compulsory education;  - anti-pollution surveillance;  - standardisation activities;  - construction or railway infrastructure;  - general promotion of tourism in a region. | 1. **Is an undertaking engaged in economic activity?** This is defined as offering goods and/or services on a given market and which could, at least in principle, be carried out by a private operator for remuneration in order to make profits. 2. Any products/services offered on a market? |  |  |
| **The key issue is to consider whether, and under which conditions, the grant award/financial support favours certain undertakings by giving them an economic advantage.**  In line with the case law of the European Courts, the concept of economic advantage under the State aid rules includes any advantage “which the recipient undertaking would not have received under normal market conditions”.  The Altmark judgement of the European Court of Justice concerning services of general economic interest also expressed the view that public procurement procedures allow for the selection of the tenderer capable of providing the given services “at the least cost to the community”. | 1. **Does the measure**/ **grant award** /**financial support confer an economic advantage** (a benefit) which an undertaking would not have obtained under normal market conditions? Or is there no advantage, e.g. it is merely a service at market price (e.g. obtained through public procurement or by a Service of General Economic Interest (SGEI) provided the SGEI meets the *Altmark criteria*)?   For example a service that is reimbursed at market price is not conveying an *advantage*.-  All studies or other results of the non-investment research and development projects must be made available for free to all interested individual or legal persons, in a non-discriminatory way in order not to be considered an economic advantage |  |  |
| Usually, a direct grant represents by itself an economic advantage. However, there are situations in which such grants do not confer a direct advantage.  The economic advantage may be avoided, for the projects that have as results research, IT tools/software and/or if training is involved, competing undertakings in the relevant market will be able to/can use the project output (e.g. ICT tool/software, training course) in the same way and under the same conditions as the lead partner, any of the project partners or the end users of the project. All undertakings in the market (will) have the same benefit and no undue advantage will be/is given for anybody. This is the case when the outputs are transferable to the whole market and when they are open-source, i.e. the source (e.g. source code, curricula) and certain other rights (e.g. content) normally reserved for copyright holders are provided under a public license.  It might also be the case for public services. If the service is not provided on a free basis by the authority itself, the Altmark criteria have to be taken into account/   * The service has to be defined through a normative act (Law, Ordinance, Emergency Ordinance, Government Decision, Local Council Decision). The definition has to include also the obligations attached to it, including the obligations related to the price. * a technical and economic study in order to estimate the revenues and costs for the operation of the service and determining the parameters on the basis of which the compensation will be calculated. * The public service has to be entrusted by means of an official act (e.g. a contract); * the economic analysis showing that the value of the compensation does not exceed the reasonable costs for the provision of the service. * The project should provide that the final value of the compensation is determined by means of an independent audit of the financial reports. The audit should also cover the opportunity and efficiency of costs and revenues.   In the case that SGEI is used for business infrastructure, the absence of profits might annul the advantage that the owner of the infrastructure receives by the use of such infrastructure after the obligations end. | 1. Is there any indirect advantage awarded to one or more undertakings? |  |  |
| An advantage can be conferred on undertakings other than those to which State resources are directly transferred (indirect advantage). An indirect advantage is present if the measure is designed in such a way so as to channel its secondary effects towards identifiable undertakings or groups of undertakings. This is the case, for example, if the direct aid is, de facto or de jure, made conditional on the purchase of goods or services produced by certain undertakings only. Also, the indirect advantage might occur, for example, in the following cases”:  -business incubators established with State support if part of the aid is transferred by the recipient undertaking to the incubated companies (by means of lower level of rent as compared to the market conditions, of services as accounting or law consultancy provided at lower fees that those available on the market.  -building of infrastructure for the solely or main use of an undertaking.  -Such indirect advantages should be distinguished from mere secondary economic effects that are inherent in almost all State aid measures (e.g. through an increase of output). For this purpose, the foreseeable effects of the measure should be examined from an ex ante point of view. | 1. Are there indirect advantages awarded to other undertakings and/or target group(s) of the project that are understood as undertakings and/ or private bodies? |  |  |
| 3. Selectivity | 1. As the grants within the CBC framework are awarded only to certain entities, the selective nature is always present. 2. An analysis of the selective nature is relevant only when there is an indirect advantage. Beside the examples above, for example, in the cases involving research, if the results of the research are made available to a limited number of undertakings there might be a selective economic advantage granted to those undertakings. In order to avoid the selectivity issues in such a case ensuring a sufficient promotion of the results, by means of publication in speciality magazines and/or on the project’s web page. | Selectivity criteria is always met in the case of the direct beneficiary. Almost certainly ’yes’ in ETC.  7.If there are indirect beneficiaries, is there a selective nature or all the potential undertakings can benefit? |  |  |
| 4. Distortion of competition | 1. A measure granted by the State is considered to distort or threaten to distort competition when it is liable to improve the competitive position of the recipient compared to other undertakings with which it competes. 2. For the case of the CBC, should all the other above conditions be fulfilled, this criteria is automatically met, with the exemption of the situation in which the recipient is holding a legal monopoly. 3. For example, the railway infrastructure represents a legal monopoly in Romania.   Always presumed ‘yes’, if there is an advantage | 8.Is there a competitive market for the product/service in question?  Always presumed ‘yes’, if there is an advantage |  |  |
| 5.Effect on trade between Member States | 1. This criterion is considered automatically fulfilled in most of the cases, and it does not required a detailed definition of the market. 2. The effect on competition might be only potential. For example, a State aid might inhibit a company from another Member State from opening a branch.   In order to assert that this criterion is not fulfilled, the project in question must have a mere **local impact.** For this, the following characteristics have to be fulfilled  (a) the aid does not lead to demand or investments being attracted to the region concerned and does not create obstacles to the establishment of undertakings from other Member States;  (b) the goods or services produced by the beneficiary are purely local or have a geographically limited attraction zone;  (c) there is at most a marginal effect on the markets and on consumers in neighbouring Member States;  Some examples are:  - swimming pools and other leisure facilities intended predominantly for a local catchment area;  - museums or other cultural infrastructure unlikely to attract visitors from other Member States;  - hospitals and other health care facilities aimed at a local population;  - news media and/or cultural products which, for linguistic and geographical reasons, have a locally restricted audience  - a conference centre, where the location and the potential effect of the aid on prices is unlikely to divert users from other centres in other Member States; | 9.Is there a European market for the product/service in question, or does it have a mere local nature?  Always presumed ‘yes’, if there is an advantage |  |  |

**Conclusion and decision**

The project assessed does not have state aid incidence. / The project assessed has state aid incidence.

**The project assessed is considered ACCEPTED /REJECTED for the state aid incidence verification.**

*Date and Signature of the State aid evaluation expert*